

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.			107594	8580	
09/688,181	10/16/2000	HIDEYUKI KURITA	10/374		
25944 75	on 08/29/2002		,		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 19928			LEE, GRANVILL D		
ALEXANDRIA	, VA 22320		DDD, GIG		
	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2825		
			DATE MAILED: 08/29/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
•		09/688,181		KURITA ET AL.	M				
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Granvill D Lee, Jr		2825					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress				
Period for	r Reply								
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failure	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replayer of the specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however,	er, may a reply be tin num of thirty (30) day IX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONE	nely filed  /s will be considered timely.  I the mailing date of this county  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.				
Status		h 0000							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14		.el						
2a)☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
3)	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.					
-	on of Claims								
	Claim(s) <u>2-4,6,10-13 and 16-21</u> is/are pendin								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from considera	ition.						
· ·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4,6,10-13 and 16-21</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirer	nent.						
• •	on Papers	er							
9)∐   40)□-	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acco	ented or h)☐ objects	ed to by the Exa	aminer.					
10) <u> </u>	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
   11)□ ·	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approve	d b)∐ disappr	roved by the Examin	er.				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
	a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
* 5	Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified co	i 7.2(a)). pies not recei\	ved.					
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119	e(e) (to a provisiona	l application).				
	a)  The translation of the foreign language parts of of the foreign languag	rovisional applicat	on has been re	eceived.					
Attachmer									
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲		ary (PTO-413) Paper No al Patent Application (PT	o(s) FO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/688,181

Art Unit: 2825

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Applicant's Argument

After review of applicant's amendments and comments, the examiner finds such arguments unpersuasive. Applicant's comments (and cancellations of 1, 5, 7-9, 14 and 15 as to Gerber et al. Hayden et al. and DiStefano et al. are well taken, however in further review of the prior art, the examiner has found that Japan. Doc. (1-202898) and Japan. Doc. (5-327212) read upon applicant's claimed invention. As these are a new grounds for rejection, but the following rejections are not to be considered final.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 6, 10-13, 16 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japan. Doc. (1-202898) in view of Japan. Doc. (5-327212).

In view of claim 1, Japan. Doc. (1-202898). discloses a manufacturing process where a multi-layered flexible wiring board consisting of a film layer

Application/Control Number: 09/688,181

Art Unit: 2825

which can be laminated with many other boards (as shown). Japan Doc. 1-202898 also depicts a tipped device for thermal-compression bonding tool (Fig. b). But Japan. Doc 1-202898 fails to include an ultrasonic process.

Japan. Doc. (5-327212) depicts a process that uses ultrasonic waves to bond two boards together through their respective conductive bonding areas.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Japan Doc. 1-202898 with those of Japan Doc. 5-327212 with the likelihood of achieving better individual soldering results, since now ultrasonic soldering can be made to any joint, chip or board in the soldering process, with an energy source, and not by applying thermal-compression alone.

In view of claim 3, Japan Doc. 1-202898 discloses trace wirings (Fig. # 13,14...) in close proximity to each other, so that upon *thermal* bonding of the traces a contact forms to wirings and the areas between.

In view of claim 4, upon heating Japan Doc. 1-202898 can laminate several boards together.

In view of claim 6, Japan Doc. 1-202898 discloses a manufacturing process where a plurality of boards are bonding.

In further view of claims 10 and 11, Japan Doc. 1-202898 points out that the metal wirings can be made in various ways, for example if we note that a second opening can be designed on the lower portion of the board and form a concave structure (Fig. 1 #12) this is exactly the opposite as the convex

Application/Control Number: 09/688,181

Art Unit: 2825

structure that can be designed (Fig. 6 #16). This latter structure that is a natural variation of the former structure, and can still fulfill the bonding requirements.

In view of claims 13 and 20, Japan Doc. 5-327212 teaches that the adhesion layer (Fig. 3 #25) surrounding the metal pad (Fig. 3#12) can be dielectric or conductive.

Claims 17-19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japan. Doc. (1-202898) in view of Japan. Doc. (5-327212) in further of Gerber et al. (WO 94/29897).

Japan. Doc. 1-202898 discloses a manufacturing process where a multi-layered flexible wiring board consisting of a film layer and a metal layer, which can be laminated with many other boards. Japan Doc. 1-202898 also depicts a tipped device for thermal-compression bonding tool. Japan. Doc. (5-327212) depicts a process that uses ultrasonic waves to bond two boards together through their respective conductive bonding areas. But both Japanese documents fail to include the metallurgies the materials used. Gerber et al. teaches that various metals can be used at different temperatures.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of both the Japanese documents to develop a wider range applications, using a wider range of materials.

Art Unit: 2825

In view of claims 17-18, Gerber et al. teaches that suitable metals for the cover layer are tin, lead or gold alloys (Pg. 9 lines 5-10).

In view of claim 19, Gerber et al. states that typically bump, pads or any interconnections can have the same or different metallurgies, and that a stable bond is of importance (Pg. lines 1-6).

In view of claim 21, Gerber et al. teaches that the adhesion layer (Fig. 9 #58) with a cover metal layer has an upper limit melting temperature (Pg. 9 lines 12-15).

#### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications for the examiner should be directed to Granvill Lee whose telephone number is (703) 306-5865. The examiner can be normally reached on Monday thru Thursday from 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are not successful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on (703) 308-1323. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature relating to status or otherwise should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

> Examiner Granvill Lee

Art Unit 2825

Gl 8/23/02

MATTHEW SMITH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800**